

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,373	03/04/2002	Hiroshi Ito	ARC920010125US1	7246
7590 01/11/2006			EXAMINER	
J. Elin Hartrum			WALKE, AMANDA C	
REED & ASSO	CIATES		ADTIBUT	DADED MUMDED
Suite 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
800 Menlo Avenue			1752	
Menlo Park, Ca	A 94025			_

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	 			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/091,373	ITO, HIROSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amanda C. Walke	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 C 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the c	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•			
·—	difficient the attached office	7.00.011.01.101111.1.0.102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		\ (-1\) (f)			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/091,373

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13, 5, 7-12, 15, 17-19, 21, 24, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshio et al (JP 60-042411 in view of its English language abstract).

Toshio et al disclose a fluorine-containing polymer comprised of at least two monomeric units, and I and II appear to meet the instant claim limitations with the exception of the at least one of R4, R6, and R7 are other than hydrogen. While the reference prefers the formula of formula II wherein the substituents in the instant positions R4, R6, and R7 are all hydrogen, based upon a spot translation by a PTO staff member, it appears that the reference does teach the equivalence of a H and a F atom or alkyl group. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of Toshio et al choosing to replace a H atom (particularly the h in the instant R4 position) with a F atom. The resultant polymer may be employed in a resist composition.

3. Claims 4, 6, 13, 14, 16, 20, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zampini et al (US2002/0058199A1) in view of Toshio et al.

Zampini et al disclose a photoresist composition comprising monomers having electronegative groups. The composition may comprise fluorine-containing monomers such as

Art Unit: 1752

(meth)acrylates and vinyl ethers along with monomers having the structures seen on pages 12, 13, 15, 23, and 24.

Toshio et al has been discussed above.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of Zampini et al choosing to employ the known monomers of Toshio et al as the fluorine-containing methacrylate/ vinyl ether monomers with reasonable expectation of achieving a material which may form a pattern having high resolution.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the reference may not be employed in a 102 rejection as the monomers of the reference specifically fail to teach a monomer having other than a hydrogen in any of the instant positions R4, R6, or R7. As discussed above in the new 103 rejection made in light of applicant's arguments and amendments, the reference does teach the equivalence of the H and F atoms, and provides motivation for replacing a hydrogen atom in any of R4, R6, and R7 with a F atom or alkyl group. Additionally, the correct Zampini et al reference has been cited, but the Zampini et al rejection stands in light of the discussion above of the Toshio et al reference.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1752

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amanda C Walke

Examiner

Art Unit 1752

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/091,373

Art Unit: 1752

ACW January 8, 2006

,